Open Access

Cardiac dimensions and hemodynamics in healthy juvenile Landrace swine



Michelle Costa Galbas¹, Hendrik Cornelius Straky¹, Florian Meissner¹, Johanna Reuter¹, Marius Schimmel¹, Sebastian Grundmann², Martin Czerny¹ and Wolfgang Bothe^{1*}

Abstract

Background Swine are frequently used as animal model for cardiovascular research, especially in terms of representativity of human anatomy and physiology. Reference values for the most common species used in research are important for planning and execution of animal testing. Transesophageal echocardiography is the gold standard for intraoperative imaging, but can be technically challenging in swine. Its predecessor, epicardial echocardiography (EE), is a simple and fast intraoperative imaging technique, which allows comprehensive and goal-directed assessment. However, there are few echocardiographic studies describing echocardiographic parameters in juvenile swine, none of them using EE. Therefore, in this study, we provide a comprehensive dataset on multiple geometric and functional echocardiographic parameters, as well as basic hemodynamic parameters in swine using EE.

Methods The data collection was performed during animal testing in ten female swine (German Landrace, 104.4 ± 13.0 kg) before left ventricular assist device implantation. Hemodynamic data was recorded continuously, before and during EE. The herein described echocardiographic measurements were acquired according to a standard-ized protocol, encompassing apical, left ventricular short axis and long axis as well as epiaortic windows. In total, 50 echocardiographic parameters and 10 hemodynamic parameters were assessed.

Results Epicardial echocardiography was successfully performed in all animals, with a median screening time of 14 min (interquartile range 11–18 min). Referring to left ventricular function, ejection fraction was $51.6 \pm 5.9\%$ and $51.2 \pm 6.2\%$ using the Teichholz and Simpson methods, respectively. Calculated ventricular mass was 301.1 ± 64.0 g, as the left ventricular end-systolic and end-diastolic diameters were 35.3 ± 2.5 mm and 48.2 ± 3.5 mm, respectively. The mean heart rate was 103 ± 28 bpm, mean arterial pressure was 101 ± 20 mmHg and mean flow at the common carotid artery was 627 ± 203 mL/min.

Conclusion Epicardial echocardiography allows comprehensive assessment of most common echocardiographic parameters. Compared to humans, there are important differences in swine with respect to ventricular mass, size and wall thickness, especially in the right heart. Most hemodynamic parameters were comparable between swine and humans. This data supports study planning, animal and device selection, reinforcing the three R principles in animal research.

Keywords Epicardial echocardiography, Experimental animal models, Hemodynamics, Swine

*Correspondence: Wolfgang Bothe wolfgang.bothe@uniklinik-freiburg.de Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Introduction

Swine are the preferred animal model for cardiovascular research, as they most closely represent human cardiac size, coronary anatomy and electrophysiology [1–3]. Those similarities, amongst limited differences, characterize this animal model as very representative of the conditions expected to be found in different scenarios of human cardiovascular surgery. Swine are used for handson training of young surgeons, as a disease-like model for high prevalent cardiovascular pathologies as well as for the development and testing of new medical devices and techniques. Given that, qualitative and quantitative data about the procedure and surgical outcomes is of vital relevance to the research scenario. In view of that, complementary to the ideal animal model is the choice of the most appropriate imaging method.

Epicardial echocardiography (EE) is the modality of ultrasonography performed with the transducer in direct contact with the cardiac surface. Historically, EE was first described in 1972, applied during mitral valve repairs [4], and the technique has been continuously evolved since then, providing lately comprehensive assessments with color flow and spectral Doppler. Epicardial echocardiography has a broad usage particularly in pediatric cardiac surgery, as it displays the anterior mediastinal structures in an enhanced manner [5, 6], and as TEE is not always safe in small children or patients with abnormalities of the great vessel [7]. Even though EE is a practical assessment tool, no standard values have been established for swine so far [8].

Aims and objectives

The validation of EE as assessment tool for large animal models can support the development and testing of multiple medical devices, e.g., left ventricular assist devices, stents, grafts, and transcatheter minimal invasive valve repairs. Although TEE is the gold standard for intraoperative imaging in cardiac surgery, it is not always available, demands dedicated equipment and technique and, furthermore, requires advanced training and expertise. To the best of our knowledge, there is so far no study describing EE as a quantitative assessment tool, nor the report of a geometric baseline using this technique, particularly in swine.

The aim of this study is to describe our experience performing epicardial echocardiography in German

Landrace swine, and the therefrom-acquired geometric and functional data. Furthermore, we aim to compare this data with the existent standard values from other techniques with traditional transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography. With that, we wish to better understand the feasibility and accuracy of EE as a more practical quantitative assessment tool.

Materials and methods

This study is part of a series of acute animal tests to validate a novel accessory for minimal-invasive implantation of a left ventricular assist device (LVAD). All experiments were approved by the local ethics committee (Freiburg, Germany, approval number 35–9185.81/G-22/006). All animals received human care in compliance with the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources published by the National Institutes of Health. The first three animals were employed for developing and refinement of the methodology. Thereafter, ten healthy female swine (German Landrace, 104.4 ± 13.0 kg) were included in this study.

Before the procedures, all animals were kept under controlled environmental conditions. All animals received premedication and, after relaxation, were intubated and then transferred to the operating room. Premedication was induced with ketamine (20 mg/kg IM) and midazolam (0.5 mg/kg IM). After sedation, anesthesia was induced with propofol (2–4 mg/kg IV) and vecuronium (0.2 mg/kg IV), and thereafter maintained with propofol (10–15 mg/kg/h IV), fentanyl (5–10 µg/kg IV) and vecuronium (0.2–0.4 mg/kg IV). All animals were placed in dorsal recumbency and continuously hemodynamic monitored for heart rate (HR), respiratory rate, peripheral oximetry (SpO₂), arterial blood pressure (systolic, SBP; diastolic, DBP; mean, MAP), central venous pressure (CVP), pulmonary arterial pressure (systolic, sPAP; diastolic, dPAP; mean, mPAP) and electrocardiography. Blood flow through the left common carotid artery (CCA) was periodically assessed applying a transit-time flow meter (Medistim Deutschland GmbH, Deisenhofen, Germany).

Epicardial echocardiography was performed after sternotomy, before LVAD implantation. A broadband sector array transducer (S4-2, Philips CX50 Ultrasound Point of Care, Philips Healthcare, Hamburg, Germany) isolated by a sterile cover sheath was used to perform the screenings. The examinations followed an internal protocol for comprehensive epicardial echocardiography, encompassing apical 2- and 4-Chamber views (A2C and A4C, respectively) (Fig. 1), left ventricular long axis (LAX) and short axis (SAX) in different heights (Fig. 2), as well as epiaortic LAX and SAX views (Fig. 3).

All captions were initially assessed with two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound, in addition of color and spectral Doppler for valvular study, when applied. The diameters for the right ventricle (RV) and right atrium (RA), as well as the transvalvular hemodynamic parameters for the aortic and mitral valves (AV and MV, respectively) were assessed in the A4C view, as the ones for the left ventricle (LV), left atrium (LA) and ascending aorta (AAo) were measured in the LAX. The calculation of the sinotubular junction (STJ) height was performed at the center of cusp coaptation. The planimetry of the aortic valve (AV), the diameters of the mitral valve (MV), pulmonary artery (PA) and right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) were assessed in the respective SAX height. The distances between each AV cusp and the contralateral commissure were analogously calculated at end-systole as



Fig. 1 Apical 4- and 2-Chamber views. A refers to the schematic representation of the probe placement and structures to be displayed B represents the echocardiographic view. A2C, apical 2-Chamber; A4C, apical 4-Chamber; IVS, interventricular septum; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle; MV, mitral valve; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; TV, tricuspid valve



Fig. 2 Ventricular LAX and SAX views. **A** refers to the schematic representation of the probe placement and structures to be displayed **B** represents the echocardiographic view. AAo, ascending aorta; AML, anterior mitral leaflet; AV, aortic valve; AV SAX, aortic alve short axis; IVS, interventricular septum; LA, left atrium; LCC, left coronary cusp; LV, left ventricle; LV LAX, left ventricular long axis; MV, mitral valve; MV SAX, mitral valve short axis; NCC, non-coronary cusp; PA, main pulmonary artery; PML, posterior mitral leaflet; PV, pulmonary valve; RA, right atrium; RCC, right coronary cusp; RPA, right pulmonary artery; RV, right ventricular outflow tract



Fig. 3 Epiaortic LAX and SAX views. **A** refers to the schematic representation of the probe placement and structures to be displayed **B** represents the echocardiographic view. AAo, ascending aorta; AV, aortic valve; BCA, brachiocephalic artery; LA, left atrium; LSCA, left subclavian artery; RPA, right pulmonary artery

previously described in magnetic resonance [9]. ejection fraction (EF) was calculated using the biplane Simpson method in A4C and A2C views, and comparatively applying the Teichholz method in the LV LAX.

Cardiac output (CO) and stroke volume were similarly obtained from the Simpson's method. Diastolic dysfunction was evaluated according to the transmitral E/A ratio. The cardiac mass was defined according to the Devereux formula used as standard in humans as follows [10]: Epicardial echocardiography was successfully performed in all 10 animals, and the screening time varied between 6 and 23 min (median 14 min, interquartile range 11 – 18 min). The screenings did not induce significant changes on HR nor SpO₂, which remained stable during the examinations. During EE, MAP was 72 ± 17 mmHg, and mean HR was 99 ± 29 beats per minute. Two animals displayed hypotensive tendency during the examinations, with MAP values ranging initially

$$LV mass = 0.8 \times \left\{ 1.04 \times \left[(LVEDD + PWT + IVS)^3 - (LVEDD)^3 \right] \right\} + 0.6g$$

IVS, interventricular septum thickness; LV, left ventricle; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; PWT, posterior wall thickness

All geometric parameters were measured post-operatively in three to five cardiac cycles. The examinations and measurements were performed uniformly in all animals. Data collection and statistical analysis were performed in a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel, v. 2016), and further compared with the respective standard values established for humans. The hemodynamic mean values were calculated individually for each animal. The described means and standard deviations are referred to the individual means, in order to ensure sample uniformity. Quantitative data is presented as numbers and percentages. Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and range, as well as median and interquartile range when applied.

Results

Vitals and hemodynamics

Baseline vital data of the subjects, from beginning of monitoring until sternotomy, is presented in Table 1.

	Landrace Swine N = 10		
Parameter	Mean	±SD	
Heart Rate (bpm)	103	±28	
SBP (mmHg)	125	±15	
DBP (mmHg)	87	±22	
MAP (mmHg)	101	±20	
CVP (mmHg)	8	±4	
SpO ₂ (%)	99	±1	
sPAP (mmHg)	31	±5	
dPAP (mmHg)	17	±5	
mPAP (mmHg)	24	±4	
Mean CCA Flow (mL/min)	627	±203	

CCA Common carotid artery, CVP Central venous pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, dPAP Diastolic pulmonary artery pressure, MAP Mean arterial pressure, mPAP Mean pulmonary artery pressure, SBP Systolic blood pressure, sPAP Systolic pulmonary artery pressure, SpO2 Peripheral oxygen saturation from 63 and 61 mmHg to 40 and 49 mmHg, respectively. One of them responded with higher values for mPAP and CVP. Figure 4 displays the values for HR, MAP, CVP and mPAP during EE examinations, respectively. Each line represents one subject. ²

Left ventricle

Values for left ventricular dimensions and functions are summarized in Table 2.

Right ventricle

Values for right ventricular dimensions and function are summarized in Table 3.

Left and right atria

Values for the left and right atria are summarized in Table 4.

Aortic and mitral valves

Regarding valvular function, mild aortic and mitral regurgitation were found in one and four animals at baseline, respectively. The mean values for the E and A waves were 82.7 ± 18.6 and 57.2 ± 13.4 cm/s, respectively. There were no signs of severe regurgitation in any evaluated valve in all animals. Further values for AV and MV are summarized in Table 5.

Ascending aorta

Values for the ascending aorta are summarized on Table 6.

Discussion

In this article, we described the measurements obtained from intraoperative EE in juvenile Landrace swine. This is of relevance as swine are the preferred large animal model for in vivo studies in cardiovascular research [1-3]. Swine share multiple similarities regarding anatomy and physiology with the human heart, which is of utmost relevance for animal testing, hands-on surgical



Fig. 4 Vital parameters in swine during epicardial echocardiography. EE, epicardial echocardiography; CVP, central venous pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure. The subjects marked in orange and red displayed a hypotensive tendency after minute 10 and 15 of the screenings, respectively. Sampling rate was achieved at 1:1 value per minute. **A**, heart rate **B**, mean arterial pressure **C**, central venous pressure **D**, mean pulmonary artery pressure

training and development of medical devices (e.g., LVADs, transcatheter valve implantation, etc.). Such similarities, as well as the particularities of the swine heart, exemplary the LA receiving only two pulmonary veins and solely two branches arising from the aortic arch [23], can be well displayed through EE withing minutes of screening, requiring neither high-end equipment nor advanced experience. There is limited data concerning echocardiography in swine and, so far, no reports using epicardial and epiaortic screening. This raises concerns as the establishment of a geometric and functional baseline in swine could substantially reduce the number of required subjects in animal tests, contributing to reinforce the bioethical three R principles in research with animal models [24].

The vital data resembles the physiologic standard values in humans, especially regarding blood pressure, CVP and and SpO₂. The transit-time CCA flow measurement, assessed by duplex sonography, was of 627 ± 203 mL/min, values notably higher as the ones so far described in humans [25, 26]. We hypothesize that this could be related to the stronger head and neck musculature development compared to humans, as well as to the quadruped posture in swine, favorizing the blood propelling

as by enduring smaller gravitational force. Values for mean PAP were likewise higher than the standard values described in humans $(14.0 \pm 3.3 \text{ mmHg})$ [27, 28].

The swine heart displays a typically bulkier myocardium, with pronounced ventricular walls. This is remarkably demonstrated by the greater values found for PWT and IVS, as well as the higher calculated LV mass. Comparatively, the values encountered about EF were smaller as the standard human values. The thicker aspect of the myocardium is also demonstrated by broader RV walls. This can be attributed to the profuse myocardial tissue constituting the ventricular walls. Analogously, the diameters of the RVOT and PA were smaller as the human standards. We hypothesize that this may be associated with the aforementioned bulkier myocardium, also implying greater contractility in the right heart, or to higher pressure gradients in the pulmonary circulation in swine. Both atria portray an oval shape as previously described in swine [8, 29], with substantial greater lengths in the long axis in comparison with the short axis of each chamber.

Regarding ventricular function, there was no noteworthy difference between EF assessment via modified Simpson or Teichholz methods. This may be of

 Table 2
 Left ventricular dimensions and function in swine vs.

 human reference

	Landra	ace Swir	Human Reference	
Parameter	Mean	±SD	Range	Range
LVEDD (mm)	48.2	±3.5	42.2-53.3	37.8–52.2 ^a
LVESD (mm)	35.3	±2.5	32.0-40.2	21.6-34.8 ^a
LVEDV (mL)	85.5	±10.9	69.0-107.0	46-106 ^a
LVESV (mL)	44.7	±10.1	30.6-67.5	14-42 ^a
PWT (mm)	15.3	±2.7	12.1-22.1	6-9 ^a
IVS (mm)	14.0	±1.5	11.7–16.7	6-9 ^a
LVOT (mm)	21.5	±1.5	18.7-23.4	17–25 ^b
LV mass (g)	301.1	±64.0	230.2-470.0	67–162 ^a
EF Teichholz (%)	51.6	±5.9	44.1-66.3	>55 ^c
EF Simpson (%)	51.2	±6.2	66.5-63.5	54–74 ^a
Stroke volume (mL)	40.8	±7.7	29.4-53.2	50-100 ^c
Cardiac output (L/min)	5.0	±1.3	3.4–7.4	5.0-6.0 ^d

EF Ejection fraction, *IVS* Interventricular septum thickness, *LVEDD* Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, *LVESD* Left ventricular end-systolic diameter, *LVEDV* Left ventricular end-diastolic volume, *LVESV* Left ventricular end-systolic volume, *LVOT* Left ventricular outflow tract, *PWT* Posterior wall thickness

^a Lang et al. [10]. Values are presented for females

^b Kou et al. [11]

^c Sidebotham *et. al.* [12]

^d King and Lowery. [13]

 Table 3
 Right ventricular dimensions and function in swine vs.

 human reference

	Landra	ace Sw	ine <i>N</i> = 10	Human Reference	
Parameter	Mean	±SD	Range	Range	
RV systolic wall thickness (mm)	9.5	±0.8	8.2–11.0		
RV diastolic wall thick- ness (mm)	6.7	±0.7	5.4–7.6	1–5 ^a	
RV basal diameter (mm)	27.5	±2.7	23.2-32.3	25–41 ^a	
RV mid diameter (mm)	15.0	±2.0	11.6-18.0	19–35 ^a	
RV longitudinal diameter (mm)	65.5	±4.8	59.4–75.4	59-83 ^a	
RVEDA (cm ²)	9.6	±2.0	5.7-13.1	6-13 ^b	
RVESA (cm ²)	4.9	±1.0	3.4–6.7	3–11 ^a	
FAC (%)	48.3	±6.1	38.5-56.0	>35 ^a	
TAPSE (mm)	18.7	±4.6	13.7-27.2	>17 ^a	
RVOT (mm)	21.0	±2.8	16.4-25.5	25-40 ^b	
PA (mm)	21.2	±2.0	18.3–23.6	11–31 ^e	

FAC Fractional area shortening, PA Pulmonary artery, RV Right ventricle, RVEDA Right ventricular end-diastolic area, RVESA Right ventricular end-systolic area, RVOT Right ventricular outflow tract, TAPSE Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

^a Lang et al. [10]. Values are presented for females

^b Kou et al. [11]

^e Sheikhzadeh et al. [14]

Table 4 🛛	Atrial	dimensions i	n swine vs	s. human	reference
-----------	--------	--------------	------------	----------	-----------

	Human Reference		
Mean	±SD	Range	Range
34.2	±3.6	30.1-42.2	27-38 ^a
39.4	±6.6	26.2–49.9	
12.5	±4.0	8.1-20.2	≤20 ^a
29.6	±3.7	24.9–34.7	<18 ^f
39.4	±4.6	30.8–47.6	
10.0	±2.3	7.1–13.9	10-20 ^b
	Mean 34.2 39.4 12.5 29.6 39.4 10.0	Mean \pm SD 34.2 \pm 3.6 39.4 \pm 6.6 12.5 \pm 4.0 29.6 \pm 3.7 39.4 \pm 4.6 10.0 \pm 2.3	Mean \pm SDRange34.2 \pm 3.630.1-42.239.4 \pm 6.626.2-49.912.5 \pm 4.08.1-20.229.6 \pm 3.724.9-34.739.4 \pm 4.630.8-47.610.0 \pm 2.37.1-13.9

LA Left atrium, RA Right atrium

^a Lang et al. [10]. Values are presented for females

^b Kou et al. [11]

^f Rudski et al. [15]

importance when considering the sensible and variable geometry of human hearts, setting the Simpson method as gold standard [10], which requires particular technical refinement. Our data shows that both techniques are applicable to swine, what may be of advantage when the Simpson method is not available or not viable. This representative assessment of the Teichholz technique in swine may be associated with their aforementioned bulkier myocardium, sculpting the heart with a bullet-shaped form, which is the essential assumption of the Teichholz technique [30].

The transversal diameters encountered for the aortic root (Sinus of Valsalva and STJ) were encompassed in the lower range of the standard values in humans. However, the diameters of the proximal ascending aorta and aortic arch were smaller as the standard human values. In addition to the classic echocardiographic parameters, we assessed the distance between the STJ and the first aortic branch, namely brachiocephalic artery in swine. To the best of our knowledge, such data has not been reported using EE until this point. Likewise, we reported values for the height of the RCA's ostium. We found one study reporting this parameter with echocardiography in horses [31]. The contemporary data may be of special importance to transcatheter procedures, arterial accesses to the heart using the common carotid artery, as well as procedures with replacement of the aortic root, which could interfere with the coronary circulation.

Regarding transvalvular parameters, the mitral valve E/A ratio resembles the physiologic values found in humans, as the peak velocities for both AV and MV are noticeably higher [18, 20]. This may represent a hyper-dynamic status from swine' cardiovascular system,

	Landrace Swin	Human Reference		
Parameter	Mean	±SD	Range	Range
Aortic Valve				
Annulus diameter (mm)	24.6	±1.9	21.4-27.6	20-31 ^c
Opening area (cm ²)	5.2	±0.8	4.2-6.7	2.3-4.1 ^g
Peak velocity (m/s)	1.4	±0.3	1.0-1.9	$\leq 1.0^{h}$
VTI (cm)	26.7	±4.3	20.3-33.7	17–34 ⁱ
LCC-Commissure (mm)	29.7	±2.5	24.9-33.3	
NCC-Commissure (mm)	30.5	±2.5	27.1-35.1	
RCC-Commissure (mm)	27.9	±2.4	23.7-31.1	
Mitral Valve				
E/A ratio	1.5	±0.3	0.7-2.2	≥0.8 ^j
Peak velocity (m/s)	1.3	±0.4	0.5-1.9	0.6–0.8 ^j
Deceleration time (ms)	145	±31	102-190	150–240 ^j
Anteroposterior diameter (mm)	25.8	±4.9	19.9-36.1	25–38 ^k
Intercommissural diameter (mm)	45.2	±3.0	39.9–50.1	28–42 ^k

 Table 5
 Aortic and Mitral valve diameters and function in swine vs. human reference

AV Aortic valve, LCC Left coronary cusp, NCC Non-coronary cusp, RCC Right coronary cusp, VT/ Velocity time integral

^c Evangelista et al. [16]

⁹ Pollick et al. [17]

^h Chaothawee et al. [18]

ⁱ Cotella et al. [19]. Values are presented for females

^j Nagueh et al. [20]

^k Dwivedi et al. [21]. Values are presented for females

Table 6Ascending aortadiameters in swinevs.humanreference

	Landrad	Human Reference		
Parameter	Mean	±SD	Range	Range
Sinus of Valsalva (mm)	29.5	±2.1	26.1-33.0	29–45 ^c
STJ diameter (mm)	22.5	±1.3	20.9-24.6	22-36 ^c
STJ height (mm)	20.4	±1.9	18.1–24.8	18–23 ¹
STJ to BCA (mm)	37.2	±5.6	30.5-45.8	
AAo diameter (mm)	23.1	±1.4	20.0-25.1	22-36 ^c
Aortic arch diameter (mm)	23.6	±2.7	20.0-30.0	22–36 ^c
RCA height	17.9	±1.5	15.0–19.9	
Root perimeter (mm)	103.4	±8.9	89.6–116.0	69–82 ¹
Root area (cm ²)	8.0	±1.3	5.6-10.0	3.8–4.8 ^I

AAo Ascending aorta, BCA Brachiocephalic artery, RCA Right coronary artery, STJ Sinotubular junction

^c Evangelista et al. [16]

¹Li et al. [22]. Values acquired by computer tomography

enclosing greater contraction forces propelling the blood through the cardiac chambers.

With respect to further echocardiographic reports in animal models, Sündermann et al. described a geometric and functional baseline by performing a similar study using TEE in 20 domestic swine (56–106 kg) [32]. With exception of the lower ranges attributed to smaller subjects, we found multiple comparable results using EE. Analogously, Huenges et al. performed TEE in 45 German Landrace swine (46-57.5 kg) with focused assessment on global heart function, valvular function and detection of possible regurgitation [8]. Their screening was particular for including advanced hemodynamic parameters, such as transvalvular patterns, velocities, pressure gradients and velocity time integrals over the LVOT and both AV and MV. We found comparable results regarding EF using different methods, as well as akin E/A ratio and LVOT diameters. Unlike the two aforementioned reports, we found higher values for the maximal and average heart rate. This may be attributed to the transmural Purkinje fiber distribution in the porcine heart, associated with the higher susceptibility to tachyarrhythmias presented by this species [1, 3].

Epicardial echocardiography is often described as a qualitative assessment tool but, to the best of our knowledge, until today there is no data referring to quantitative parameters and measurements performed using such method. The epicardial technique regained certain highlight after the Covid-19 pandemics, by providing intraoperative imaging with little to no aerosolization, easy disinfection and no direct contact with patient's body fluids [33]. Furthermore, as much as TEE is the gold standard intraoperative imaging method, in particular situations such as cardiac interventions in congenital pathologies, EE is considered a complementary tool to TEE, rather than two distinct methods [5, 34]. Additionally, EE provides superior imaging of anterior vascular structures, allowing direct vessel visualization and adequate Doppler alignment, with low complication rates [5, 35].

Although TEE is the standard intraoperative imaging modality and EE has logistic and technical advantages, one technique does not preclude another. There are reports where both techniques are combined, providing suitable results [5, 6, 34]. Epicardial echocardiography showed to be applicable in the swine model and may support TEE whenever the probe placement is not successful or contraindicated, as well as enrich this modality with comparable data.

Conclusion

In this study, we report the a comprehensive dataset obtained from intraoperative EE in swine. In total, 50 echocardiographic parameters are described, from which most were comparable to humans. We believe that the establishment of a detailed geometric and functional baseline in swine using EE is of great relevance and practical execution, and may greatly support the current standard of cardiovascular research using swine models, reinforcing the bioethical principles of the three R principles - Reducment, Replacement and Refinement.

Abbreviations

2D	Two-dimensional
AAo	Ascending aorta
AV	Aortic valve
CCA	Common carotid artery
CVP	Central venous pressure
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
dPAP	Diastolic pulmonary artery pressure
EE	Epicardial echocardiography
EF	Ejection fraction
FAC	Fractional area changing
HR	Heart rate
IVS	Interventricular septum
LA	Left atrium
LAX	Long axis
LCC	Left coronary cusp
LV	Left ventricle
lvad	Left ventricular assist device
LVEDD	Left ventricular end-diastolic diameter
LVESD	Left ventricular end-systolic diameter
LVOT	Left ventricular outflow tract
MAP	Mean arterial pressure
mPAP	Mean pulmonary artery pressure
MV	Mitral valve
NCC	Non-coronary cusp
PA	Pulmonary artery
PWT	Posterior wall thickness
RA	Right atrium
RCA	Right coronary artery

Page 9 of 10

- RCC Right coronary cusp
- RV Right ventricle
- RVOT Right ventricular outflow tract
- SAX Short axis
- sPAP Systolic pulmonary artery pressure
- SPB Systolic arterial pressure
- SpO₂ Peripheral oxygen saturation
- STJ Sinotubular junction
- SD Standard deviation
- TFF Transesophageal echocardiography
- TTE Transthoracic echocardiography VTI
- Velocity time integral

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

FM and WB contributed to the conception and design of the research. MCG drafted this article and prepared figures 1, 2, 3. HCS supported at review, data acquisition and statistical analysis and prepared figure 4. JR and MS supported at data acquisition and review. MC, SG and WB approved the final version of this article. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content and accuracy

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (03VP08500). Miss Michelle Costa Galbas was gratefully supported by the doctoral scholarship "State Graduate Funding Baden-Württemberg" (in German, Landesgraduiertenförderung). Mr. Hendrik Cornelius Straky was supported by the "German Academic Scholarship Foundation". The funders did not have any relationship with the conduct of the study, the collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, and the preparation, review or approval of this article.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [WB], upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experiments were approved by the local ethics committee (Freiburg, Germany, approval number 35-9185.81/G-22/006).

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article

Author details

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Heart Center Freiburg—Bad Krozingen, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Hugstetter Strasse 55, 79106 Freiburg, Germany. ²Department of Cardiology and Angiology, Heart Center Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany.

Received: 8 November 2023 Accepted: 31 December 2023 Published online: 16 January 2024

References

- Piktel JS, Wilson LD. Translational models of arrhythmia mechanisms and susceptibility: success and challenges of modeling human disease. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2019;6:135
- Clauss S, Bleyer C, Schüttler D, Tomsits P, Renner S, Klymiuk N, et al. Animal 2. models of arrhythmia: classic electrophysiology to genetically modified large animals. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2019;16(8):457-75.
- 3. Spannbauer A, Traxler D, Zlabinger K, Gugerell A, Winkler J, Mester-Tonczar J, et al. Large animal models of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Front Cardiovasc Med. 2019;6:117.

- Johnson ML, Holmes JH, Spangler RD, Paton BC. Usefulness of echocardiography in patients undergoing mitral valve surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1972;64(6):922–34.
- Dragulescu A, Golding F, Van Arsdell G, Caldarone C, Mertens L, Al-Radi O, et al. The impact of additional epicardial imaging to transesophageal echocardiography on intraoperative detection of residual lesions in congenital heart surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2012;143(2):361-367.e1.
- Stern KWD, Emani SM, Peek GJ, Geva T, Kutty S. Epicardial echocardiography in pediatric and congenital heart surgery. World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg. 2019;10(3):343–50.
- Hilberath JN, Oakes DA, Shernan SK, Bulwer BE, D'Ambra MN, Eltzschig HK. Safety of transesophageal echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23(11):1115–27 quiz 1220–1.
- Huenges K, Pokorny S, Berndt R, Cremer J, Lutter G. Transesophageal echocardiography in swine: establishment of a baseline. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2017;43(5):974–80.
- Burman ED, Keegan J, Kilner PJ. Aortic root measurement by cardiovascular magnetic resonance: specification of planes and lines of measurement and corresponding normal values. Circ: Cardiovasc Imag. 2008;1(2):104–13.
- Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2015;28(1):1-39.e14.
- Kou S, Caballero L, Dulgheru R, Voilliot D, De Sousa C, Kacharava G, et al. Echocardiographic reference ranges for normal cardiac chamber size: results from the NORRE study. Eur Heart J - Cardiovasc Imag. 2014;15(6):680–90.
- Sidebotham D, Le Grice IJ. Physiology and Pathophysiology. In: Cardiothoracic Critical Care. Elsevier; 2007;3–27. Available from: http://www.cross ref.org/deleted_DOI.html. Cited 2023 Jul 10
- King J, Lowery DR. Physiology, Cardiac Output. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023. Available from: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470455/. Cited 2023 Jul 10
- 14. Sheikhzadeh S, De Backer J, Gorgan NR, Rybczynski M, Hillebrand M, Schüler H, et al. The main pulmonary artery in adults: a controlled multicenter study with assessment of echocardiographic reference values, and the frequency of dilatation and aneurysm in Marfan syndrome. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2014;9(1):203.
- Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American society of echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23(7):685–713.
- Evangelista A, Flachskampf FA, Erbel R, Antonini-Canterin F, Vlachopoulos C, Rocchi G, et al. Echocardiography in aortic diseases: EAE recommendations for clinical practice. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2010;11(8):645–58.
- Pollick C, Pittman M, Filly K, Fitzgerald PJ, Popp RL. Mitral and aortic valve orifice area in normal subjects and in patients with congestive cardiomyopathy: determination by two dimensional echocardiography. Am J Cardiol. 1982;49(5):1191–6.
- Chaothawee L. Diagnostic approach to assessment of valvular heart disease using magnetic resonance imaging, part II: a practical approach for native and prosthetic heart valve stenosis. Heart Asia. 2012;4(1):171–5.
- Cotella JI, Miyoshi T, Mor-Avi V, Addetia K, Schreckenberg M, Sun D, et al. Normative values of the aortic valve area and Doppler measurements using two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography: results from the multicentre world alliance of societies of echocardiography study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imag. 2023;24(4):415–23.
- Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2016;29(4):277–314.
- Dwivedi G, Mahadevan G, Jimenez D, Frenneaux M, Steeds RP. Reference values for mitral and tricuspid annular dimensions using two-dimensional echocardiography. Echo Res Pract. 2014;1(2):43–50.
- Li J, Sun Y, Zheng S, Li G, Dong H, Fu M, et al. Anatomical predictors of valve malposition during self-expandable transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:600356.

- 23. Góes Junior AMDO, Chaves RHDF, Furlaneto IP, Rodrigues EDM, Albuquerque FBAD, Smit JHA, et al. Estudo de anatomia comparada suína por angiotomografia: contribuições para modelos de pesquisa e treinamento em cirurgia vascular e endovascular. J Vasc Bras. 2021;20:e20200086.
- 24. Noszczyk-Nowak A, Cepiel A, Janiszewski A, Pasławski R, Gajek J, Pasławska U, et al. Normal values for heart electrophysiology parameters of healthy swine determined on electrophysiology study. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2016;25(6):1249–54.
- Ackroyd N, Gill R, Griffiths K, Kossoff G, Appleberg M. Quantitative common carotid artery blood flow: prediction of internal carotid artery stenosis. J Vasc Surg. 1986;3(6):846–53.
- Chu BC, Narita A, Aoki K, Yoshida T, Warabi T, Miyasaka K. Flow volume in the common carotid artery detected by color duplex sonography: an approach to the normal value and predictability of cerebral blood flow. Radiat Med. 2000;18(4):239–44.
- Schmidt RF, Lang F, Heckmann M, editors. Physiologie des Menschen: mit Pathophysiologie: mit Online-Repetitorium. Sonderausgabe der 31. Auflage. Berlin [Heidelberg]: Springer; 2017. 979 p. (Springer-Lehrbuch).
- Kovacs G, Berghold A, Scheidl S, Olschewski H. Pulmonary arterial pressure during rest and exercise in healthy subjects: a systematic review. Eur Respir J. 2009;34(4):888–94.
- Crick SJ, Sheppard MN, Ho SY, Gebstein L, Anderson RH. Anatomy of the pig heart: comparisons with normal human cardiac structure. J Anat. 1998;193(Pt 1):105–19.
- 30. Chengode S. Left ventricular global systolic function assessment by echocardiography. Ann Card Anaesth. 2016;19(5):26.
- Siwinska N, Michalek M, Zak A, Slowikowska M, Noszczyk-Nowak A, Niedzwiedz A, et al. Two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements of the right coronary artery in healthy horses - a pilot study. BMC Vet Res. 2019;15(1):43.
- Sündermann SH, Cesarovic N, Falk V, Bettex D. Two- and three-dimensional transoesophageal echocardiography in large swine used as model for transcatheter heart valve therapies: standard planes and values. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2016;22(5):580–6.
- Senniappan K, Damodaran S, Kanchi M. Epicardial echocardiography—a plausible alternative cardiac imaging technique in COVID-19 Pandemic. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2021;35(2):684–6.
- Stümper O, Kaulitz R, Sreeram N, Fraser AG, Hess J, Roelandt JRTC, et al. Intraoperative transesophageal versus epicardial ultrasound in surgery for congenital heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1990;3(5):392–401.
- 35. Ozturk E, Cansaran Tanidir I, Ayyildiz P, Gokalp S, Candas Kafali H, Sahin M, et al. The role of intraoperative epicardial echocardiography in pediatric cardiac surgery. Echocardiography. 2018;35(7):999–1004.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

